# CUED - Engineering Tripos Part IIB 2016-2017      Module Coursework

| Module | | Title of report | |
|---|---|---|---|

| Date submitted: | Assessment for this module is (delete as appropriate) |
|---|---|
| | 100% / 25% coursework |
| Total hours spent on report: | of which this assignment forms _____ % |

| **UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS ONLY** | **POST GRADUATE STUDENTS ONLY** | |
|---|---|---|
| Candidate number: | Name: | College: |

**Instructions to markers of Part IIB coursework:**

Grade this coursework on the scale A* to D by marking the appropriate Overall Assessment box, and provide feedback by ticking as many of the comments as are applicable on the table below. Students should be aware that different parts of a report may show different characteristics, so a range of remarks can be expected. The weighting of comments is not intended to be equal, and the relative importance of criteria may vary between reports. Markers should provide guidance on which parts of the report fall below good standards (A grade).

| | | | A* | A | B | C | D |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **CONTENT** | **Completeness, quantity of content** | Has the report covered all aspects of the lab? | | | | | |
| | | Has the analysis been carried out thoroughly? | | | | | |
| | **Correctness, quality of content** | Is the data correct? | | | | | |
| | | Is the analysis of the data correct? | | | | | |
| | | Are the conclusions correct? | | | | | |
| | **Depth of understanding, quality of discussion** | Do the analysis and discussion show a good technical understanding? | | | | | |
| | | Has it been written clearly? | | | | | |
| | | Have all the relevant conclusions been drawn? | | | | | |
| | Justification if not A grade: | | | | | | |
| **PRESENTATION** | **Structure, organisation of content** | Has the report content been presented in a logical order? | | | | | |
| | | Has the content been structured clearly, e.g. into sections? | | | | | |
| | | Has the technical content been placed in the relevant context and properly motivated? | | | | | |
| | | Have appropriate references been included? | | | | | |
| | **Attention to detail, typesetting and typographical errors** | Has the report been typeset to a good technical standard? | | | | | |
| | | Is the report free of typographical errors? | | | | | |
| | | Are the figures/tables of good technical quality? | | | | | |
| | Justification if not A grade: | | | | | | |

| **Overall assessment (circle grade)** | A* | A | B | C | D |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Guideline standard | >75% | **65-75%** | 55-65% | 40-55% | <40% |
| *Penalty for lateness:* | *20% of marks per week or part week that the work is late.* | | | | |

Marker:                             Date: